

UNITED STATES DESTARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

Α	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INV	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
	03/643,17	4 04/25/97	7 KANASH		## E	3442	
_	N S FASSE INDIAN FOND LANE		IM60/1119	IM60./1119 7 E		AMINER	
				•	KUNEMIND, R		
	P D BOX N				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ST MLBANS ME 04971				1765	10	
					DATE MAILED:	11/19/98	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



	Application No.	No. Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit					
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover she	et beneath the correspondence address					
Period for Response		2					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SEMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	ET TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE					
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by defar a Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response will, but the set or extended period for response will, but the set or extended period for response will, but the set or extended period for response will, but the set or extended period for response will, but the set or extended period for response will, but the set or extended period for response will, but the set or extended period for response will be the set or extended period for response	a response within the st ult, expire SIX (6) MON	tatutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.					
Status	100						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<i>4</i> /τ <i>)</i>						
☐ This action is FINAL.							
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except faccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 							
Disposition of Claims		•					
Claim(s) 1to 23	is/are pending in the application.						
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
Claim(s) He5, 8te23	is/are allowed.						
Claim(s)	is/are rejected.						
Claim(s) 1te 5, 8 t e 23 Claim(s) 6, 7	is/are objected to.						
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	are subject to restriction or election						
Application Papers		requirement.					
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review, PTO-948.						
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	•						
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.							
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)							
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) 							
☐ received in this national stage application from the Inter	national Bureau (PC	CT Rule 1 7.2(a)).					
*Certified copies not received:		·					
Attachment(s)							
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No	(s)	☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413					
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152						
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	ł .	Other					
Office	Action Summary						

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97)

Application/Control Number: 08/843,124

Art Unit: 1763

The Rejections

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1, 2, 3, 19, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bourret-Courchesne in view of Yamamoto.

The Bourret-Courchesne reference teaches a growth of gallium arsenide from a melt. In a crucible of quartz a charge is placed. The charge is solid polycrystalline GaAs with boric oxide. The crucible is then heated to cause melting. Due to the properties of the boric oxide, it melts first and stays on top of the melt, while the remaining solid is melted. Once, the melt is formed the single crystal can be formed by seed pulling or bridgeman techniques, note entire reference. The sole difference between the instant claims and the prior art is the addition of a dopant.

Application/Control Number: 08/843,124

Art Unit: 1763

However, the Yamamoto reference teaches that carbon is a dopant for GaAs and is added to the original charge in solid form prior to any melting. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Bourret-Courchesne process by the teachings of the Yamamoto reference to add carbon as a dopant in order to produce the desired El2 characteristics.

Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bourret-Courchesne in view of Yamamoto and Yamashita.

The Bourret-Courchesne and Yamamoto reference are relied on for the same reasons as stated, supra, and differ from the instant claims in the addition of water to the boric oxide. However, the Yamashita reference teaches that when growing gallium arsenide from a melt, the addition of water to boric oxide. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to modify the Bourret-Courchesne process by the Yamashita reference to add water to the boric oxide in order to control the carbon concentrations in the melt.

Claims 8 to 18, 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bourret-Courchesne in view of Yamamoto and Yamashita.

The Bourret-Courchesne, Yamashita and Yamamoto reference are relied on for the same reasons as stated, supra, and differ from the instant claims in the type of carbon and melt times. However, in the absence of unobvious results, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to determine through routine experimentation the optimum, operable type of carbon source and melt times in the prior art in order to uniformly dope the melt and allow the melt to achieve a uniform consistence.

Response to Applicants' Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 to 23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Kunemund whose telephone number is (703) 308-1091. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:00 to 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ben Utech, can be reached on (703) 308-3324. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3599.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

RMK

November 13, 1998

' ROBERT KUNEMUND PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER A.U. ₹17%